

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
United COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/790,072	03/02/2004	Hiroyu Takemote	. 2224-0227P	1122	
2292 75	11/08/2005		EXAMINER		
Direction .	ART KOLASCH & BI	THOMAS, ALEXANDER S			
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1772		

DATE MAILED: 11/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				6.2
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/790,072	TAKEMOTO, HIROYUKI	l
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Alexander Thomas	1772	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	**
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior tire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH ute, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communic IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•		
1)□ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matter	• •	ts is
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withden claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Exami The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptance as a publicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance ection is required if the drawing(s)	s. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage	<b>;</b>
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 or No(s)/Mail Date 6/1/04.		Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/790,072 Page 2

**Art Unit: 1772** 

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

1. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "with forming in layers" is grammatically incorrect. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear whether claim 1 is directed to a sheet per se or to the combination of a sheet and display panel. Lines 1 and 2 of the claim appear to define a combination in view of the sheet being in front of a display panel, however claim 13 is directed to the combination of sheet and panel. If claim 1 defines a combination then claim 13 does not further define the invention. The claims will be examined as though claim 1 is directed to a combination sheet and display panel.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cobb et al 5,254,388. The reference discloses the invention substantially as claimed, namely a light control film in front of a display panel, the light control panel comprising

plastic transparent sections alternating with plastic dark sections that contain carbon; see column 2, lines 9-23 and column 4, lines 29-32. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the light control panel of the reference with any well-known display panel, such as a plasma display panel in view of the disclosure at column 2, lines 9-13, which suggests use of the panel with any display. The reference also discloses that it is old in the art to provide similar light control panels with slanted sections; see column 1, lines 15-20. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the panel of the reference with slanted sections in view of the teachings at column 1, lines 15-20 depending on the end use of the display (claim 2). It would also have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select any material for the panel as well as sizes of the sections and sheet to provide the panel with the desired optimum properties for a particular end use. The term "soft" is a relative term that does not distinguish over the materials used in the reference since any material may be considered soft to a degree.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-1502. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00 M-THUR.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,072 Page 4

**Art Unit: 1772** 

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALEXANDER S. THOMAS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Oleyandy & Mena